UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,780	07/21/2006	Yong-Chul Kim	1942/65	1589
23838 KENYON & K	7590 07/18/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE		YOUNG, SHAWQUIA		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTOI	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/586,780	KIM ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	SHAWQUIA YOUNG	1626
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicating the above claim(s) is/are withdrays. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 4-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or application Papers	awn from consideration. or election requirement.	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 7/21/06 is/are: a) ☐ an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 4-18 are currently pending in the instant application. Applicants have cancelled claims 1-3 and added new claims 4-18 in an amendment filed on April 22, 2008.

I. Response to Arguments

Applicants' amendment, filed on April 22, 2008, has overcome the rejection of claim 1 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Eisenbrand (WO 2000061555); the rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph for scope of enablement; the rejection of claims 2 and 3 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph for insufficient antecedent basis and the objection of claims 1 and 2 for informalities. The above rejections and objection have withdrawn.

II. Rejection(s)

35 USC § 103 - OBVIOUSNESS REJECTION

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) that forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,780

Art Unit: 1626

Graham v. John Deere Co. set forth the factual inquiries necessary to determine obviousness under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). See Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966). Specifically, the analysis must employ the following factual inquiries:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Page 3

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 5, 6 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Meijer*, et al. (US 2007/0276025). Applicants claim compounds of

compositions comprising these two compounds.

The Scope and Content of the Prior Art (MPEP §2141.01)

Application/Control Number: 10/586,780 Page 4

Art Unit: 1626

Meijer, et al. teaches indirubin-type compound that are modulators of glycogen synthase kinase-3, cyclin-dependent protein kinases or aryl hydrocarbon receptors. The

invention is represented by the general formula:

wherein X represents Br, Cl, I, F, CH=CH₂, or H; Y represents NOH, NOAc, NOCH₃ or O; Z represents H; W represents H, CH₃, Cl or NO₂; L represents H or Cl; R represents H or CH₃ (See page 27, table 5).

See also preferred embodiments on page 27 in Table 5, which disclose species teaching specific moieties. Note the exemplary compounds listed in the table such as 6-chloroindirubin-3'-oxime and 6-fluroroindirubin-3'-oxime (compounds 7d and 7b, respectively).

The Difference Between the Prior Art and the Claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The difference between the prior art of *Lefoulon*, *et al.* and the instant invention is that there is homologous subject matter. Not all of the substituents are taught, however there is overlap between the substituents disclosed especially in view of the preferred embodiments taught by the prior art. The compounds in the instant application have the halogen located at the 5-position of the indirubin-3'-oxime core structure whereas the

Application/Control Number: 10/586,780 Page 5

Art Unit: 1626

prior art teaches the indirubin-3'-oxime compound where the halogen is located at the 6-position.

Prima Facie Obviousness-The Rational and Motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

Applicants are claiming compounds of the formula

chlorine atoms are located at the 5-position. The prior art reference of *Meijer*, *et al.* teaches a similar compound wherein the same compounds except the fluorine or chlorine atoms are located at the 6-position.

In In re Jones, 162 F.2d 638, 74 USPQ 152 (CCPA 1947), it was well established that compounds which differ only in the placement of substituents in a ring system is not patentable absent unexpected results. For example, it is obvious to prepare a 5-chloro or fluoro substituted indirubin-3'-oxime when the art teaches a 6-chloro or fluoror substituted indirubin-3'-oxime with a reasonable expectation of success. Specifically, placing halogen substitutents in different positions on a ring system of a well-known structure should give similar activities as the known compound and thus is obvious absent unexpected results. Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to prepare adjacent homologs based on the teachings of the preferred embodiments in the prior art. A

Application/Control Number: 10/586,780 Page 6

Art Unit: 1626

strong prima facie obviousness has been established.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, claims 4-8 contain the limitation "having an anti-proliferative property to a human cancer cell line selected from the group consisting of a human lung cancer cell line, a human stomach cancer cell line, a human colon cancer cell line, a human abdominal cavity cancer cell line and a human leukemia cell line and having a property for inducing apoptosis to a leukemia cell line by inducing differentiation as CDK inhibitor" but the Examiner wants to point out that the limitation is merely a property of the compound and does not receive any patentable weight. It is unclear whether Applicants are trying to use the limitation as an intended use for the claimed compounds but the Examiner wants emphasize that the above limitation is not an intended use but merely a property of the compounds. Applicants are suggested to delete the above limitation because it does not add anything to the claims since it is merely a property.

III. Conclusion Application/Control Number: 10/586,780 Page 7

Art Unit: 1626

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shawquia Young whose telephone number is 571-272-9043. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Shawquia Young/

Examiner, Art Unit 1626

/Kamal A Saeed, Ph.D./

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626